Natural Gas Plug-In Hybrid Class 8 Truck Development

NGVTF

James S. Burns, Ph.D. 2/22/2018



Development Funding Path

- CEC PIR-13-012 Ended Q3 2017
 - Demonstration of Natural Gas Plug-in Hybrid Class 8 Trucks (NGPH-8)
- SCAQMD Contract No. 16046 Ends Q2 2018
 - Develop & Demonstrate Two Class 8 CNG
 Plug-In Hybrid Electric Drayage Trucks (ZECT)
- CEC proposed awardee under GFO-17-503
 - Demonstration of a CNG Hybrid-Electric Super-Truck (CHEST)



Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Members

- Roger Galloway Westport
- Michael Lee Southern California Gas
- Kent Johnson UC Riverside
- Vic La Rosa Total Transportation Solutions
- Jon Coleman Ford Motor Company





otal Transportation Services, Inc.

mpra Energy[®] company



Plugin Range-extended CNG Hybrid Basics

- Serial hybrid combines TransPower's proven electric powertrain with a "smart" generator APU
- This APU incorporates a 3.7L Ford SI NG engine and JJE/EPC electric power systems





Electruck [™] with APU Range-extender





Objectives for these designs

- Effective range extension
 - 135-250 mile range > Bakersfield to Long Beach
 - Vehicle weight "neutral" design
 - Significant fuel cost savings
- Program goals
 - Fuel economy in g/bhp-hr at those conditions equal or better than that of larger CNG truck prime mover engines
 - Heavy Duty FTP cycle compliant emissions at those conditions
 - Longevity sufficient for demonstration period
- Energy and power requirements for APU
 - 50-70 engine shaft hp average over 8 hours
 - 100-200 shaft hp peak for 5 minute bursts





Challenge: Engine Choice and Availability

- All 3.7L NG-ready Ford engines are built with the same variable valve, and port-injected fuel system.
- <u>Automotive-Trim (AT):</u> Original Ford effort to supply 3.7L from dual-fuel F-150 production – these had a hard-to-procure controller with closed software – no help forthcoming
- <u>Stationary-Trim (ST):</u> has an added manifold injector and unwired VVT system – limited to 2900 RPM – available and stand alone w/controller







Engine Strategy

- Acquire ST engines from Powertech, run one as-is in the CCAT test truck to establish a baseline
- Develop a CNG test capability –a complete dynamometer facility – to author new engine controls for the AT (Seq. multi-port, VVT) engine.
- Procure additional ST engines and build APUs for the SCAQMD trucks, then upgrade the ST engines to operate as AT to reach the higher peak power goals and reduce fuel consumption and emissions



APU design details

3.7L Engine

EPC Inverter



APU dry weight: ~1400 lbs CNG storage system dry weight: ~450 lbs Battery weight reduction: ~2300 lbs









Truck System Simulation Results

| Parameters Sou to 60 7 7 30 Speece any 20 0 2 2 0 Display Mode Response Loss 1 0 Response Loss 1 0 Response Loss 1 0 Display Mode Response Loss 1 0 Display Mode Response Display Mode Response Disp | 20130N16210V2J00NGAPU | Alter better delta to an | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 0.06+0 0.0 | Cycle/ Condition | Avg. Speed (MPH) | Trip Range (miles) | Operating Economy (kWh/mi) | Time to goal (hrs) | APU power (kW) | Trip energy (kWh) | APU energy (kWh) | CNG req'd (GGE) |
| | Drayage | 10 | 75 | 2.7 | 7.50 | 15 | 203 | 111 | 13.2 |
| | Drayage 2 shifts | 10 | 150 | 2.7 | 15.00 | 21 | 405 | 313 | 37.5 |
| | Freeway | 55 | 135 | 2.8 | 2.45 | 117 | 378 | 286 | 34.2 |
| | Interstate | 65 | 135 | 3.3 | 2.08 | 170 | 446 | 354 | 42.3 |

- Simulated system efficiency to verify vehicle range using the stacked HD FTP drive cycle
- Used baseline control rules to explore operational impacts of ESS and SOC limits on second by second performance

• Sized system fuel requirements, estimated ESS performance impacts of this design, and explored limited load following rules



Controls Development

- Constant speed, always-on mode developed.
 Majority of testing to date has been in this mode.
- Voltage support during bridge pulls
 - Peak power set to increase with auto-trim engine and TransPower controls.
- Low-speed "off" mode presently it's low idle mode
 Geo-fencing add-on device has been developed.
- Ford ECM unavailable in dyno-calibrated version
 - Significant investment in dynamometer testing has met continuous power goals and can proceeded to vehicle test.



- Baseline drayage load leveling
 - 2.6kWh/mi for 8 hr drayage shift
 - Goal of 100 mile range extension (~150 miles total) min
 32.5kW over 8hrs or 62kW ~50% duty cycle
- Extended highway operation
 - 3.5kWh/mi 40% more than typical drayage
 - This is roughly 0.7 hp/mile per 8 hour drayage shift
 - At 25% thermal efficiency, this is 2x23GGE tanks
- Bridge climb (<5min)
 - Smaller battery design requires 80-120kW from APU
 - ST Engine output is capped in firmware to 62kW. AT engine should reach the 110-120kW peak output



CCAT catenary program test truck with APU





Lessons Learned

- Assembly/ Layout
- Noise Control
- Air flow and Cooling
- Control Methods
- Fuel consumption

Operational and deployed at the E-Highway test site



Auto-trim Engine Dynamometer Test Cell

Instrumentation

- CNG flow meters
- O2 sensors
- Exhaust temps
- Std. engine sensors



Safety

- Remote SCADA
- Leak and fire detection
- AV900 ESS simulator
- External CNG storage







SCAQMD-funded CNG hybrid range-extending trucks



- Delayed deployment due in part to a battery cell failure and added diligence in testing and requalifying KAM cell products.
- These trucks will deploy with the ST engine and then be updates in the field to the AT operation with addition of TransPower
 ECU and harness, intake changes, and insertion of port injectors.



View from the left rear

APU and Vehicle Testing Results

Stationary-trim APU Fuel consumption:

| RPM | Load (%) | APU Output | Engine | Minutes | kWh/kg | Eff. | | | |
|--|---|------------|------------|---------|--------|------|--|----------------------------|----------|
| | | (kW) | Temp. (.C) | | | | | Conversion factors | |
| 1000 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14.13 | 0 | 0 | | 2.90 | kg/DGE |
| 1000 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 20.25 | 0 | 0 | | 0.746 | kwh/hp-h |
| 1400 | 75 | 22.4 | 89 | 2.48 | 1.85 | 0.14 | | 0.875 | DGE/kWh |
| 1400 | 75 | 22.5 | 95 | 3.58 | 2.69 | 0.20 | | 0.653 | DGE/hp-h |
| 2850 | 90 | 59 | 98 | 1.68 | 3.31 | 0.25 | | Superior (gross) calorific | |
| 2900 | 100 | 62 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | 14.61 | kWh/kg |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CNG die sel gallon equivalent (DGE). – 1 DGE = 6.384 pounds (2.896 kg) of CNG or | | | | | | | | | |
| CNG dies | CNG diesel liter equivalent (DLE). – 1 DLE = 0.765 kilograms (1.687 pounds) of CNG. | | | | | | | | |

Stationary-trim on-road testing traces (typical):







ST-based APU emissions measured w/ UCR portable equipment

| | Т | est Condition | 1 | Emission Rates g/KWhr | | | | |
|---------------|------|---------------|----------|-----------------------|-----|-------|-------|--|
| Test Index | rpm | %Torque | power kw | N | хс | PN | Л | |
| # | | Nominal | Measured | AVE | STD | AVE | STD | |
| 3 | 1400 | 42% | 12 | 4.93 | 0.6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| 4 | 1400 | 75% | 24 | 13.9 | 1.6 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |
| 5 | 2100 | 65% | 25 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 0.002 | 0.001 | |
| 6 | 2400 | 65% | 31 | 10.6 | 0.3 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |
| 8 | 2400 | 85% | 41 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.005 | 0.003 | |
| 16 | 2900 | 100% | 62 | 8.4 | 3.6 | 0.004 | 0.002 | |
| 17 | 2900 | 75% | 52.7 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| 18 | 2900 | 66% | 42.7 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.004 | 0.006 | |
| 19 | 2900 | 100% | 62 | 12.4 | 3.4 | 0.003 | 0.002 | |
| 20 | 2900 | 80% | 54 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |
| 21 | 2900 | 70% | 45 | 5.1 | 1.7 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |
| 22 | 2900 | 50% | 32 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |
| 23 | 1400 | 67% | 20 | 12.4 | 1.1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| 24 | 1400 | 50% | 15 | 9.3 | 1.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| 26 | 2600 | 100% | 55.7 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 0.009 | 0.003 | |
| 27 | 2600 | 90% | 51.5 | 3.8 | 1.3 | 0.001 | 0.001 | |
| 28 | 2000 | 60% | 25.6 | 10.8 | 4.3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | |
| 29 | 2900 | 25% | 15.7 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.002 | 0.001 | |
| 30 | 2900 | 10% | 4.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 0.002 | 0.001 | |
| 31 | 2900 | 25% | 15.7 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 0.002 | 0.001 | |
| 32 | 2900 | 10% | 4.4 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 0.001 | 0.000 | |

Emission targets (HD FTP):

- 0.01 g/bhp-hr NOx
- 0.01 g/bhp-hr PM
- 0.14 g/bhp-hr HC
- 15.5 g/bhp-hr CO

Observations:

- NOx is high in the ST
- AT should improve
- PM is of course low
- Full weighted duty cycle not used in calculations
- UCR equipment did not measure HC and CO



Meritor / TransPower Partnership @ November 20th, 2017

Both parties bring valuable competencies to this relationship:



- Software / controls
- Battery integration & controls
- On road experience
- Research and development
- Commercial vehicle system integration



- 100+ year Brand
- Commercial relationships
- Production manufacturing
- Global infrastructure
- Sales, service, and aftermarket networks
- Capital and resources



- Working ST system operating on CCAT truck now.
- ST efficiency predictions were verified.
- ST emissions measured as baseline
- First SCAQMD truck integrated- awaiting calib.
- AT engine software calibrated to 4000RPM
- AT engine meets average power requirement
- AT emissions tuning slated for April at UCR
- AT engine software will evolve during deployment
- Fuel cost-per-mile and emission reduction benefit spreadsheet tool developed for ST engine



Fuel Savings Benefit Predictions

| 100 | mile trip target | Conditi | on | fraction | kWh/kg |
|------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|--------|
| 2.3 | kWh/mi Low speed | 1 | idle | 0.1 | 0 |
| 3.5 | kWh/mi High speed | 2 | 22 kW | 0.1 | 2.69 |
| 155 | kWh ESS nomnal | 3 | 60 kW | 0.8 | 3.31 |
| 0.80 | SOC avail. fraction | | | avg | 2.92 |
| 53.9 | mile range electric | Assume | ed | | |
| 1.00 | starting SOC | Diesel MPG | 5 | kg CNG req | 44.8 |
| 0.8 | ESS DOD max. | Fuel/units | Cost/unit | scf/DGE | 144 |
| 43.1 | Electric miles driven | Diesel/Usgal | \$ 4.00 | scf/kg | 49.4 |
| 56.9 | CNG miles | CNG/DGE | \$ 2.00 | scf req | 2215 |
| 131 | kWh CNG required | Electricty/kWh | \$ 0.12 | DGE required | 15.4 |

Operating modes

- Idle (not "off")
- Low charge-sustaining
- High charge-sustaining
- Peak (hill climb)





Observations

- Knee at AER limit
- Adjustable SOC limits
- Low-speed drayage significant savings

Changing Landscape

- AESC batteries
 - Lower impedanceLower cost
- Meritor partnership
 - E-axle
 - Investment
 - Midwifery
- New missions
 - Medium range
 - Long haul
- New competitors







Better Batteries



- Modular design for packs with 44kWh increments
- High energy density ~50% more than LFP system
- US sourced, high quality Li NMC pouch cell
- BMS with CANBUS and 200 mA of balancing
- Air cooled with optional water/glycol and refrigerant Battery heating and cooling options
- Each module has fusing and contactor control

| Cell chemistry and type | Li-NMC, prismatic pouch |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cooling type | Air. Opt. Water/Glycol and Refrig. |
| Pack dimension (D, W, H) mm | 675 x 500 x 550 (Width along frame) |
| Pack mass kg | 360 |
| IP rating | IP 67 |
| Vehicle mounting method | Frame side mounting w/ spreaders |
| Capacity nom./usable, kWh | Per pack - 44, 37 |
| Nominal voltage VDC | 400 |
| SOC range % | 10%-95% |
| Max contiin. discharge current | 330 @45 ℃ |
| Pulse discharge current A | 550 @ 27 °C |
| Max. charge current A | 110,55 recommended |
| Min charge time Hour | 1 hour, 2 recommended |
| Battery control | Volt/Temp with current derate |
| Operation/Store temp limits C | (-25 ~ 60 ℃)/(-40 ~ 70 ℃) |





NACV show in October 2017

Fully integrated electric motor saves cost and weight and frees packaging space b/w frame rails

PM motor technology tailored for CV duty cycles is extremely power dense and efficient

2-speed automated shifting enables smaller, lighter motor and higher system efficiency

- Fits existing MTOR axle hsgs for easy vehicle integration
- 250 kW Peak power (3 ratings 150 / 180 / 200 kW Cont.) in the same package for appl. Flexibility.
- Prototypes available in mid-2018



Q and A Period

